



308  $m\mu$  as erroneously printed in the first paper of this series).

**Analyses.**—Aliquot portions of a stock solution of fluoroplatinic acid were titrated with 0.0219 *N* sodium hydroxide. The course of the reaction was followed with a Model G Beckman *pH* meter. The titration curve was typical of the reaction between a strong base and a strong acid.

Aliquot portions of the same stock solution were analyzed for platinum content by reduction of the acid with hydrazine. The results confirmed the existence of the dibasic fluoroplatinic acid.

**Acknowledgment.**—Part of this investigation was performed under the terms of a contract with the AEC, Washington, D. C.

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY  
THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY  
WASHINGTON, D. C.

### Some Solvent and Salt Effects in the Solvolysis of *s*-Butyl Bromide<sup>1</sup>

BY W. C. COBURN, JR., ERNEST GRUNWALD AND HENRY P. MARSHALL

RECEIVED JULY 10, 1953

The solvolysis of *s*-alkyl halides proceeds by a mechanism which cannot be classified as either  $S_N1$  or  $S_N2$ .<sup>2,3</sup> On the one hand, there is stereochemical evidence of partial racemization in the solvolysis of 2-bromoöctane<sup>4</sup> and probably of *s*-butyl *p*-toluenesulfonate,<sup>5</sup> suggesting the existence of a metastable solvolysis intermediate. On the other hand, there is kinetic evidence for isopropyl bromide which indicates the nucleophilic participation of solvent in systems such as ethanol-water.<sup>2</sup>

We now wish to report some rate studies on the solvolysis of *s*-butyl bromide, a representative member of this interesting group of reagents. First-order rate constants have been measured in a number of solvents, including acetic acid, ethanol and ethanol-water mixtures, and are equal, within a few per cent., to the corresponding rate constants for isopropyl bromide. The results are shown in Table I. Without repeating the analysis of rate constants which was made previously for isopropyl bromide,<sup>2</sup> we may therefore conclude that there is nucleophilic participation of solvent in the solvolysis of *s*-butyl bromide in systems such as ethanol-water.

TABLE I

COMPARISON OF SOLVOLYSIS RATE CONSTANTS FOR ISOPROPYL AND *s*-BUTYL BROMIDE

Solvent	Temp., °C.	10 <sup>4</sup> <i>k</i> (sec. <sup>-1</sup> ) for	
		Isopropyl bromide	<i>s</i> -Butyl bromide
Abs. EtOH <sup>a</sup>	50.0	0.112	0.098
80 Vol. % EtOH <sup>a</sup>	50.0	1.18	1.06
60 Vol. % EtOH <sup>b</sup>	80.0	70.6	74.1
Glacial HOAc	100.0	0.89	0.96

<sup>a</sup> Data for isopropyl bromide in abs. and 80% EtOH were taken from ref. 2. <sup>b</sup> M. L. Dhar, E. D. Hughes and C. K. Ingold, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 2058 (1948).

(1) Supported in part by the Research Corporation and by the Office of Ordnance Research, U. S. Army.

(2) S. Winstein, E. Grunwald and H. W. Jones, *THIS JOURNAL*, **73**, 2700 (1951).

(3) C. K. Ingold, "Structure and Mechanism in Organic Chemistry," Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N. Y., 1953, Chapter VII.

(4) E. D. Hughes, C. K. Ingold and S. Masterman, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1196 (1937).

(5) J. Kenyon, H. Phillips and V. P. Pittman, *ibid.*, 1072 (1938).

We also tried to obtain evidence for a metastable reaction intermediate by looking for a mass-law effect.<sup>6</sup> The pertinent data in 75.00 wt. % ethanol-water at 50° are shown in Table II. The Table lists the rate constants for solvolysis in the presence of varying concentrations of salt for sodium bromide, a common ion salt,<sup>6</sup> and for sodium perchlorate and sodium *p*-toluenesulfonate, two unreactive "neutral" salts. The rate constants are generally based on at least two rate runs, and their probable errors are about 1.6%. If, to a first approximation, the neutral salt effects are treated as colligative functions of the ionic strength, the rate constants at a given salt concentration should be equal for the two neutral salts, and possible decreases below this value due to sodium bromide should measure the magnitude of the mass-law effect. As is shown in the table, the rate constants at a given ionic strength are indeed approximately equal for the neutral salts, but are greater rather than less in the presence of sodium bromide. Thus there is no evidence for a mass-law effect.

TABLE II

EFFECT OF ADDED SALTS ON THE SOLVOLYSIS RATE OF *s*-BUTYL BROMIDE IN AQUEOUS ETHANOL, 75.00 WEIGHT % ETHANOL,<sup>a</sup> 50.00 ± 0.02°

Salt	<i>M</i>	10 <sup>4</sup> <i>k</i> (sec. <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>b</sup>	
		Salt	<i>M</i>
None	..	1.06	1.09
NaBr	0.20	1.20	1.0
	.50	1.17	.80
	.77	1.19	1.09
NaOTs	.21	.43	1.08
	.43	.43	1.04

<sup>a</sup> 79.22 vol. %. <sup>b</sup> Probable errors in *k*: 0.45 *M* NaClO<sub>4</sub>, 4%; all other values, 1.6%.

Within the limits of present knowledge, this conclusion cannot be reversed if the salt effects are treated as specific rather than colligative. In aqueous solution salt effects on non-electrolytes often parallel either the salt polarizability or the electrostriction of the solvent due to the electrolyte.<sup>7</sup> The relevant values of the apparent molar refractions, *R*, and of ( $\bar{V}_s^\circ - V_s$ ) are summarized in Table III. The values are apparently not very sensitive to change in solvent from water to 75.00% ethanol, as is illustrated for sodium *p*-toluenesulfonate. It is seen that, on the basis of the molar refractions, the neutral salt effects ought to be nearly equal for sodium bromide and sodium perchlorate but ought

TABLE III

Salt	Solvent	$(\bar{V}_s^\circ - V_s)^a$	
		<i>R</i>	<i>R</i>
NaBr	HOH	-10.5 <sup>b</sup>	12.78 <sup>d</sup> , 12.8 <sup>c</sup>
NaClO <sub>4</sub>	HOH	-7.5 <sup>b</sup>	13.32 <sup>d</sup>
NaOTs	HOH	-10 <sup>c</sup>	43.4 <sup>e</sup>
	75.00% Ethanol	-7 <sup>c</sup>	43.2 <sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>  $\bar{V}_s^\circ$  = partial molar volume of salt in very dilute solution.  $V_s$  = molar volume of (metastable) pure liquid salt. <sup>b</sup> Taken from W. F. McDevit and F. A. Long, *THIS JOURNAL*, **74**, 1773 (1952). <sup>c</sup> Own measurements at 25.44°. For  $V_s$  use molar volume of crystalline solid salt. (See B. Lunden, *Z. physik. Chem.*, **192**, 345 (1943).) <sup>d</sup> Taken from K. Fajans and G. Joos, *Z. Physik*, **23**, 1 (1924).

(6) (a) L. C. Bateman, M. G. Church, E. D. Hughes, C. K. Ingold and N. A. Taher, *ibid.*, 979 (1940); (b) O. T. Benfey, E. D. Hughes and C. K. Ingold, *ibid.*, 2488 (1952).

(7) F. A. Long and W. F. McDevit, *Chem. Revs.*, **51**, 119 (1952).